

Review Memorandum for STN 125058/0

Food and Drug Administration
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
Office of Compliance and Biologics Quality
Division of Manufacturing and Product Quality

Date: December 11, 2002
To: File: STN 125058/0
From: Calvin B. Koerner, Scientific Reviewer, DMPQ/OCBQ, HFM-675
Through: Cynthia L. Kelley, Branch I Chief, DMPQ/OCBQ, HFM-675
Subject: Review of Biologics License Application (BLA) from BioMarin Pharmaceutical, Inc. for the manufacture, formulation, filling and packaging of Aldurazyme; STN Number 125058/0

Review Overview and Conclusion

The scope of this review is limited to the Division of Manufacturing and Product Quality (DMPQ) functional review for the Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls (CMC) section of the Aldurazyme Biological License Application (BLA) submitted by BioMarin Pharmaceutical, Inc.

Aldurazyme is a laronidase; recombinant human (a -L-iduronidase or rhIDU). Aldurazyme is supplied as a liquid concentrate for infusion at a dose of 100 units per kilogram of patient body weight. Each vial delivers 5 mL of Aldurazyme at a concentration of 100 units/mL.

The drug substance (laronidase) is manufactured at BioMarin's Novato, CA facility. rhIDU is isolated from cell culture supernatant following growth of a Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cell line transfected with a recombinant expression vector containing the cDNA coding region for human a-L-iduronidase (IDU).

formulated with polysorbate 80 in a sodium chloride and sodium phosphate buffer.

The drug product is a liquid solution that is to be diluted for intravenous administration. The drug product is sterile filtered, filled, and finished by Genzyme, Inc, Allston Landing, MA or -----

Part II of this BLA submission is a description of the Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls (CMC) for rhIDU. Briefly, Sections IIA and IIB provide a description of the composition and manufacturing process (including process validation), respectively, of the drug product. Section IIC provides comprehensive information on the manufacturing, characterization, and testing of the formulated bulk drug substance. Section IIE describes the specifications and test results for the drug product. Stability data for all intermediates, formulated bulk drug substance, and drug product (including drug product diluted for infusion) are provided in Section IIF. Section IIH addresses environmental impact. Section IIQ is divided into two different subject areas: IIQ1 presents a summary of the production process history; IIQ2 presents a description of the facilities used in the manufacturing of rhIDU formulated bulk drug substance and drug product. Finally, Section IIV provides viral safety information, including assessment of adventitious agents in starting materials as well as validation of viral removal by the manufacturing process.

All information related to this submission has been reviewed and the submission is acceptable for approval pending adequate responses to the observations listed on Form FDA 483 issued to the firms.

A history of the my (CBK) review is presented in Section I. Initial review observations and corresponding resolutions are delineated in Section II. Inspectional items are delineated in Section III. Lastly, a review narrative is given in Section IV.

Section I. Review History

A comprehensive initial review of all submitted material was completed on 7 Aug 2002. The initial review resulted in 1 observation and 7 inspection items. The one observation was resolved through a discipline review letter. A Pre-Approval Inspection was conducted between 29 October – 1 November 2002 by Deborah Trout and myself (CBK). A Form FDA 483 with 8 observations was issued to the firm. With the exception of the 483 items, all inspection items were addressed and resolved during this inspection.

Section I. Initial Review Observations

Observations for BioMarin’s Novato, CA facility

1. In Part IIC, Table IIC-64:-----, action limits are not calculated correctly for----- The correct calculation is needed.

Resolution

This observation was added to a discipline review letter sent to the firm. See the response to this discipline review letter.

Section II. Inspection Items

The ----- manufacturing sites were scheduled for inspection. Inspectors Cynthia Kelley and Deborah Trout inspected the BioMarin Novato, CA facility. Deborah Trout and I (CBK) inspected the Genzyme Allston Landing, MA facility. Team Biologic’s recent inspection of -----facility resulted in CBER waiving this inspection. The respective findings for each inspection can be found on the issued FDA Form 483s and in their corresponding Establishment Inspection Reports (EIR). Below are the inspection items that I (CBK) identified for the BioMarin Novato, CA, facility and the Genzyme Allson Landing, MA facility during my review of the application.

Inspection Items for BioMarin’s Novato, CA, facility

I forwarded the BioMarin Novato, CA, facility inspection items listed below to Cynthia Kelley and Deborah Trout prior to their departure for the inspection. These items are covered in their EIR for the BioMarin Novato, CA, facility inspection.

- -----

In Part IIC, Section 1.5.2, Buffer Preparation, it states,-----

Inspection Items for Genzyme’s , Allston Landing, MA, facility

The inspection items for the Genzyme Allson Landing, MA, facility were covered during Deborah Trout’s and mine (CBK) inspection, and are listed below with corresponding outcomes.

- In the “Performance Qualification Summary Report for -----at the Allston Facility – Amendment 2” final report, it states, -----

Outcome

The sterilization information submitted in the original application is out dated. More recent validations were reviewed during the inspection. -----

- In the “Performance Qualification Summary Report for ----- at the Allston Facility – Amendment 3” final report, it states, -----

Outcome

The sterilization information submitted in the original application is out dated. More recent validations were reviewed during the inspection. -----

- “Performance Qualification Final Report for the -----
-----at the Allston Landing Facility” validated -----

Outcome

The sterilization information submitted in the original application is out dated. More recent validations were reviewed during the inspection. -----

- In Part IIB, Section 2.1.2.7, it states, “In addition to Aldurazyme, multiple other Genzyme investigational and commercial products are manufactured in this area. All products are ----- --
-----A list of all products (clinical and licensed) manufactured at this facility is needed.

Outcome

*Genzyme provided a list of “other products” in their inspection introduction package. The products currently manufactured at this facility are-----
-----.*

- In Part IIB, Section 2.2.2.2, it states, “Representative sites in the RO system are sampled and tested routinely for----- USP XXV requires that purified water be tested for Total Organic Carbon (TOC), conductivity, and bioburden.

Outcome

The RO system is used to feed the WFI stills. RO water is not directly used in the Aldurazyme manufacturing process. Therefore, this water is not classified as purified water and does not need to be monitored according to USP specifications.

Section III Process Narrative

rhIDU is produced by transfected CHO cells -----

EOD: Calvin B. Koerner, Scientific Reviewer, DMPQ/OCBQ